

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,377	07/14/2003	Jouko Tenhunen	NOKM.058PA 5425	
Hollingsworth & Funk, LLC Suite 125 8009 34th Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55425			EXAMINER HAMZA, FARUK	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	01/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

t			- 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,377	TENHUNEN, JOUKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Faruk Hamza	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	1		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. noe except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 10-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 10-22 are subject to restrict 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

10/619,377 Art Unit: 2155

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species 1 (A method for propagating presence information wherein presence information is provided in backward messaging to the first network entity; P [0010]); Species 2 (A messaging system wherein presence information is attached to the acknowledgement by the network element; P [0011]); Species 3 (A mobile terminal wirelessly coupled to a network which includes a network element capable of accessing presence information, wherein the presence processor is configured to display the presence information attached to the backward message; P [0012]); Species 4 (A computer-readable medium having instruction stored thereon which are executable by a first mobile terminal for exchanging messages, wherein the presence information is populated by the messaging service, P [0013]); Species 5 (A server within a network used to facilitate an exchange of message of message, the server comprises means for extracting the presence information associated with a recipient of the message and providing the presence information; P [0014]); Species 6 (A computer-readable medium having instruction stored thereon which are executable by a network server for facilitating messaging, wherein formatting the presence information into a backward message in accordance with profile information associated with the recipient of the messages; P [0015]).

Related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed are not connected in at least one of design, operation, or effect (e.g., can be made by, or used in, a materially different process) and wherein at least one invention is patentable (novel and non-obvious) over the other (though they may each be unpatentable over the prior art). See MPEP § 802.01(II)

In the instant case, the Species 1, 2,3,4,5 and 6 are not connected in at least mode of operation, which is clearly evidenced by Paragraph [0010, 0011, 0012 0013, 0014 and 0015] respectively.

The Examiner has determined that the additional attributes present in Species 2,3,4,5 and 6 would not be an obvious variation of attributes present in Species 1 to one of ordinary skills in the art. Therefore, Species 1 are patentably distinct from Species 2 to 6, though they may each be unpatentable over the prior art.

The Examiner has determined that the Species 1-6 differ in mode of operation from one another in ways that would not be obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made. Therefore, Species 1-6 are patentably distinct from one another, though they may each be unpatentable over the prior art.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at

10/619,377 Art Unit: 2155

least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to the applicant's representative (Mr. Ralph Hoppin, Reg. No. 38,494) on September 28th, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is given **ONE** (1) **MONTH**, **or THIRTY** (30) **DAYS** from the mailing date of this communication, whichever is longer, within which to respond to this election/restriction requirement in order to avoid abandonment (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of this time period may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faruk Hamza whose telephone number is 571-272-7969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached at 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

10/619,377 Art Unit: 2155

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 886-217-9197 (toll –free).

Faruk Hamza

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unite 2155

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER